LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Local Education Agency (LEA) Name: Manzanita Public Charter School


CDS Code: 42 69229 0116921

School Year: 2025-26

LEA contact information: Suzanne Nicastro, (805) 734-5600, suzanne.

nicastro@manzanitacharterschool.com

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).




This chart shows the total general purpose revenue Manzanita Public Charter School expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The total revenue projected for Manzanita Public Charter School is \$7,231,385.99 of which \$5,330,074.99 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$1,034,637.00 is other state funds, \$0.00 is local funds, and \$866,674.00 is federal funds. Of the \$5,330,074.99 in LCFF Funds, \$478,761.00 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much Manzanita Public Charter School plans to spend for 2025 -26. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.


Manzanita Public Charter School plans to spend \$7,183,149.22 for the 2025-26 school year. Of that amount, \$1,751,365.00 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$5,431,784.22 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following: General Fund budget expenditures not shown in the LCAP are general operating costs such as facilities, leasing, and some contracts with service providers as well as some staff costs.

Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in in the LCAP for the 2025-26 School Year

In 2025-26, Manzanita Public Charter School is projecting it will receive \$478,761.00 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. Manzanita Public Charter School must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. Manzanita Public Charter School plans to spend \$517,061.00 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

LCFF Budget Overview for Parents

Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2024-25



This chart compares what Manzanita Public Charter School budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what Manzanita Public Charter School estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2024-25, Manzanita Public Charter School's LCAP budgeted \$478,761.00 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. Manzanita Public Charter School actually spent \$261,164.00 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2024-25.

Plan y Control Local de Rendición de Cuentas y de la

	Nombre y Título del Contacto	Correo Electrónico y Teléfono
Manzanita Public Charter School	Superintendent	suzanne.nicastro@manzanitacharterschool.com (805) 734-5600

[2025-26]

La Historia

Describa brevemente a los estudiantes y a la comunidad y como les sirve la LEA.

Reflexiones: Desempeño Anual

Highlights:

Instances of Lowest Performance on CA Dashboard:

Schools:

Student Groups within Schools:

Reflexiones: Asistencia Técnica

N/A

The school was not identified for CSI.

The school was not identified for CSI.

The school was not identified for CSI.

Participación Activa de los Colaboradores

Administración	El MPC equipo de administración se reunió para hablar del LCFF y LCAP de este año y el año que viene. Además hablaron del progreso hacia el cumplimiento de las Acciones del LCAP. Durante estas reuniones que tuvieron los días 2/6/2025 and 3/6/2025. Durante estas reuniones, el equipo de administración discutió las cinco secciones del LCAP y cómo todos los objetivos y el estado de ocho las prioridades están cubiertas por varias acciones en el plan. El grupo discutió específicamente el progreso en el LCAP del año pasado (Actualización anual) y comenzó la planificación inicial para el LCAP del próximo año. La aportación de la administración al LCAP fue informada por los siguientes factores: discusiones con los maestros, observaciones en el aula, diariamente experiencias profesionales, juicio profesional y datos de rendimiento estudiantil.
Certificado	MPC 2/12/2025.
Clasificado	MPC 2/12/2025.
Estudiante	MPC estudiante educational partner group 2/12/2025.
Padres/Madres/Comunidad	MPC padres/madres/comunidad educational partner group 2/12/2025.
Comité del LCAP	MPC El Comité LCAP se reunió en 3/27/2025 y 4/25/2025. El comité está formado por padres de estudiantes de bajos ingresos, estudiantes de inglés y estudiantes con necesidades especiales. Este cuerpo sirve como el Comité Asesor de Padres del distrito. Durante esta reunión, el comité revisó el propósito del LCAP y las ocho prioridades estatales. Una vez que se revisaron estos temas, el Comité LCAP comenzó una revisión del progreso realizado en el LCAP del año anterior (Actualización anual), así como en el Borrador del LCAP del año siguiente. Las cinco secciones del Borrador del LCAP fueron revisadas. A los miembros del Comité LCAP se les preguntó sobre cualquier preocupación o comentario al borrador. También se les preguntó a los miembros si alguien quería enviar preguntas escritas para que el superintendente las responda. Estos comentarios fueron tomados por el superintendente y respondidos.
DELAC	Los charter school #REF!

Publicación Pública	El borrador del LCAP fue publicado enMPC's sitio web para su revisión en 5/3/2025.
Actualización Anual Committee	2/12/2025
	On 7/1/2025 the MPC administration and LCAP team met with representatives of the SELPA to discuss the coming year's LCAP and how the LCAP might support the Special Education program.

Feedback:

La junta dio su opinión de que estaban satisfechos con el enfoque y la dirección del LCAP y alentó al distrito a implementar efectivamente el plan. La junta celebró una audiencia pública el 6/11/2025 y aprobó la versión final del LCAP en 6/18/2025.

The administration team's feedback was primarily to discuss how to implement the LCAP and what specific priorities from the various educational partner groups were more readily achievable and based on this to provide a direction for the goals and actions within the LCAP.

Los certificado#REF!

#REF!:

- 13% Academically Proficient (Reading, Writing, Math)
- 11% Emotionally Healthy (compassionate / empathetic)
- 09% Communicators (Active listener, articulate speaker)
- 09% Problem Solvers
- 08% Critical Thinker (Analytical, Independent)

#REF!:

- 08% Implement/continue implementing AVID
- 07% Implement/continue learning lab, intervention, differentiation.
- 05% Provide/increase access to a counselor.
- 05% Increase the academic rigor.
- 05% Provide planners to all students.

Los clasificado#REF!

#REF!:

- 13% Problem Solvers
- 08% Academically Proficient (Reading, Writing, Math)
- 08% Critical Thinker (Analytical, Independent)
- 08% Emotionally Healthy (compassionate / empathetic)
- 08% Organized (time-management, note-taking, etc.)

#REF!:

- 09% Implement/continue Ambassadors / student mentors.
- 07% Provide ethics instruction to students.
- 07% Provide/increase access to a counselor.
- 07% Teach organization and responsibility through senior portfolio, community service projects, interactive notebooks, etc.
- 04% Increase collaboration with parents.

Los estudiante#REF!

#REF!:

13% - Creative



13% - Critical Thinker (Analytical, Independent)

09% - College / Career Ready

09% - Sociable

09% - Responsible

#REF!:

10% - Increase support for music and art programs.

07% - Provide planners to all students.

05% - Implement/continue an art program.

05% - Increase PE time.

05% - Increase collaboration with parents.

Los padres/madres/comunidad#REF!

#REF!:

13% - Academically Proficient (Reading, Writing, Math)

13% - Emotionally Healthy (compassionate / empathetic)

13% - Organized (time-management, note-taking, etc.)

13% - Problem Solvers

13% - Resilient

<u>#REF!</u>:

09% - Provide more opportunities for community service projects.

09% - Implement/continue learning lab, intervention, differentiation.

09% - Increase the academic rigor.

09% - Teach organization and responsibility through senior portfolio, community service projects, interactive notebooks, etc.

09% - Implement/continue implementing AVID

El Comité LCAP está sirviendo como el cuerpo asesor del superintendente con respecto a la edición y revisiones del LCAP. Todas las sugerencias dadas por este comité fueron tomadas bajo consideración y si es posible fueron incorporadas en el LCAP final.

The LCAP Committee is serving as the advisory body to the superintendent with regards to edit and revisions of the LCAP. Any suggestions given by this committee were taken under advisement and if possible were incorporated into the Final LCAP.

The LCAP Annual Update Committee provided information on the progress, successes and challenges of the previous year's plans. While this committee did not provide specific feedback regarding the coming years' LCAP, the information from this group was used by administration and the LCAP Committee to inform the goals and actions in the LCAP. Feedback from this meeting can be found in the Annual Update Section of this LCAP.

The feedback from the SELPA was to provide some actions items in the LCAP that relate to the Special Education program as well as to briefly describe the program in the introductory section of the plan.

Influence:

MPC values the significant role that all educational partners played in contributing to the development of this LCAP. The process used for educational partner engagement is reflective of MPC's commitment to all members of the school community. The input of educational partners was essential in the review of data and especially in soliciting ideas regarding the future direction of the district including goals and actions for the LCAP as well as which metrics to focus on for measuring success. The following traits and actions were cited repeatedly by multiple educational partner groups signaling the importance attached to these and the desire to see these reflected in the LCAP.

#REF!:

- 9% Academically Proficient (Reading, Writing, Math)
- 9% Emotionally Healthy (compassionate / empathetic)
- 9% Critical Thinker (Analytical, Independent)
- 9% Problem Solvers
- 6% Communicators (Active listener, articulate speaker)

The traits Academically Proficient (Reading, Writing, Math) and Critical Thinker (Analytical, Independent) helped to inform the development of goal 01. The traits Emotionally Healthy (compassionate / empathetic) helped to inform the development of goal 02. These two goals are:

- 01: Proporcionar instrucción en el aula de alta calidad alineada con los estándares estatales básicos comunes, con intervención académica para eliminar las barreras al éxito académico.
- 02: Crear un clima seguro, acogedor e inclusivo para todos los estudiantes y sus familias, donde todos los estudiantes lograrán el bienestar personal a través de un entorno escolar de apoyo y participación que fomente al niño en su totalidad y cree salud, felicidad y colaboración entre nuestra escuela y las familias.

#REF!:

- 3% Implement/continue implementing AVID
- 3% Increase support for music and art programs.
- 3% Provide/increase access to a counselor.
- 3% Provide planners to all students.
- 3% Teach organization and responsibility through senior portfolio, community service projects, interactive notebooks, etc.

The suggested actions listed above helped to inform the development of the following actions within the LCAP.

01.04: Utilizar evaluaciones formativas y de referencia comunes en toda la LEA para analizar el progreso de los estudiantes con el fin de informar la instrucción, monitorear el progreso de los estudiantes e identificar a los estudiantes que necesitan evaluaciones o intervenciones adicionales.

Metas y Servicios

#R	REF!		
(Proporcionar instrucción en el aula de alta calidad alineada con los estándares estatales básicos comunes, con intervención académica para eliminar las barreras al éxito académico.	Broad

- 1: Basics
- 4: Pupil Achievement
- 7: Broad Course of Study
- 8: Other Pupil Outcomes

Measuring and Reporting Results

Analysis of metric 4.A.2: % Cumpliendo de normas en Math went from 35.4% (21-22) to 40.8% (22-23), and shows that the overall trend was in a positive direction on the key indicators for this goal. Educational partner focus groups showed that having students be academically proficient in reading, writing and math was a top priority for a majority of educational partner groups.

Year 1 Outcome

	Métricas / Indicadores	Base	Resultado del año 1	Resultado del año 2		
01.01	1.B.1: Mantener el % de estudiantes con plan de estudios básico alineado con los estándares estatales de CA encima (BL Yr: 22-23)	100%	100%		100%	0%
01.02	1.B.2: Aumentar el % de EL con plan de estudios ELD alineado con los estándares estatales de CA a (BL Yr: 22-23)	100%	100%		100%	0%
01.03	4.A.1: Aumentar el % Cumpliendo de normas en ELA a (BL Yr: 22-23)	53.6%	53.2%		65%	4%
01.04	4.A.2: Aumentar el % Cumpliendo de normas en Math a (BL Yr: 22 -23)	40.8%	42.9%		50%	2.1%
01.07	4.D: Aumentar el % de progreso de los estudiantes de inglés (CA Dashboard, Status) a (BL Yr: 22-23)	51.8%	58.7%		55%	6.9%
01.06	4.E: Aumentar el % de estudiantes EL reclasificados (tasa de	4.6%	4.4%		15%	2%

	reclasificación) a (BL Yr: 22-23)				
01.08	7.A: Mantener el Las inscripciones en los cursos académicos necesarios a (BL Yr: 23-24)	100%	100%	100%	0%
01.09	7.B: Mantener el # de instancias que cada estudiante no duplicado participa en programas o servicios para UDS (por promedio de UDS) encima (BL Yr: 23-24)	5.0	4.6	5.0	4
01.10	7.C: Mantener el # de casos en que cada estudiante con necesidades excepcionales participa en programas o servicios para ENS (por promedio de ENS) encima (BL Yr: 23-24)	3.2	5.2	3.0	2
01.11	8.A: Aumentar el % de estudiantes terminando 2 evaluaciones formativas y locales a (BL Yr: 23-24)	83.7%	100%	100%	16.3%

#				
01.01	Student Achievement Data Monitoring	01.01: Monitorear los datos de los estudiantes para informar las prácticas instructivas mediante la creación de un Equipo de Datos que revise los datos trimestralmente.	\$0.00	No
01.02	ELD Instruction Time	01.02: Dotar de personal a todas las aulas con docentes debidamente asignados y completamente acreditados durante el tiempo de ELD e intervención y en las aulas de ELD e intervención.	\$206,712.00	Yes
01.03	ELD Professional Development	01.03: Proporcionar desarrollo profesional al personal sobre programas de adquisición de idiomas, incluida la capacitación sobre el uso del programa ELD adoptado y las evaluaciones. Este desarrollo profesional se brindará a maestros, asistentes de instrucción, tutores de maestros y especialistas en idiomas. (PDP)	\$19,008.00	Yes
01.04	Common Assessments for Instruction and Intervention	01.04: Utilizar evaluaciones formativas y de referencia comunes en toda la LEA para analizar el progreso de los estudiantes con el fin de informar la instrucción, monitorear el progreso de los estudiantes e identificar a los estudiantes que necesitan evaluaciones o intervenciones adicionales.	\$30,000.00	No
01.05	Instructional and TIPS coaching	01.05: Brindar a profesores nuevos y experimentados apoyo de capacitación de alto nivel semanalmente.	\$125,280.00	No

01.06	Vertical Articulation	<u>01.06</u> : Proporcionar a todos los docentes y al personal de apoyo instructivo tiempo, protocolos y recursos para la articulación vertical relacionada con sus áreas de contenido y estándares, con mayor énfasis en las alineaciones de ELA con las habilidades de escritura.	\$135,788.00	No
01.07	Essential Enrichment Learning Programming	<u>01.07</u> : La LEA proporcionará una variedad de programas de aprendizaje extendido, incluidos STEM, ARTS y educación al aire libre para brindar instrucción complementaria y apoyo a estudiantes y estudiantes con necesidades excepcionales.	\$403,336.00	No
01.08	PLC Time	01.08: Proporcionar tiempo durante la jornada escolar para que todos los docentes se reúnan 4 días a la semana durante al menos 40 minutos para que las PLC planifiquen objetivos de aprendizaje esenciales, datos de rendimiento de los estudiantes, observaciones de los docentes, mejores prácticas de instrucción y el uso del tiempo de intervención. Al menos el 50 % de este tiempo se centrará en los estudiantes no duplicados.	\$185,433.00	Yes
01.09	Extended Learning Opportunities - Instructional Assistants in kindergarten	<u>01.09</u> : Colocar asistentes de enseñanza en todas las aulas de día completo de TK y jardín de infantes para apoyar las necesidades académicas y de conducta de los estudiantes. Se dará prioridad a los estudiantes EL, LI y FY.	\$97,200.00	Yes

2024-25

This box contains actions that had substantive differences, along with explanations for these differences. It also contains actions that had specific successes and some that had specific challenge along with descriptions of these successes and challenges.

No actions in this goal had substantive differences between the planned action and the actual action.

The following are some of the actions with successes and challenges. First is a list of actions with successes and after that a list of actions with challenges. The action number is listed with the Action Title and the success or challenge is written in italics.

<u>Successes:</u>

Challenges:

El seguimiento3 acciones tuvieron diferencias significativas entre el presupuesto y los gastos reales: Las razones de la diferencia en los gastos presupuestados y reales son:



-	0	1.	0	1

- 01.02:

- 01.03:

The following metrics have been selected to show how the district is progressing towards achievement of this goal.

Below is a list of actions that educational partners found were contributing to achieving the stated goal and improving the metrics listed above. The action is followed by a brief description of the action's effectiveness in italics.

There were no actions that the educational partner focus groups found to be sufficiently ineffective to be listed in this response.

Este meta se mantuvo sin cambios en el LCAP del próximo año. 2025-26.

No se agregaron métricas en este objetivo como nuevas o eliminadas en el LCAP del próximo año. Algunas métricas en este objetivo han tenido cambios menores en el idioma para proporcionar más claridad o coherencia en todo el plan. 2025-26.

Las siguientes son listas de acciones que fueron agregadas, eliminadas, modificadas, eliminadas y combinadas, o completadas en el LCAP del próximo año. Estos cambios fueron el resultado directo de reuniones con grupos de partes interesadas. Algunas acciones en este objetivo han tenido cambios menores en el idioma para proporcionar más claridad o coherencia en todo el plan. 2025-26.

- <u>01.01</u>: Monitorear los datos de los estudiantes para informar las prácticas instructivas mediante la creación de un Equipo de Datos que revise los datos trimestralmente. New Action
- <u>01.02</u>: Dotar de personal a todas las aulas con docentes debidamente asignados y completamente acreditados durante el tiempo de ELD e intervención y en las aulas de ELD e intervención. New Action
- <u>01.03</u>: Proporcionar desarrollo profesional al personal sobre programas de adquisición de idiomas, incluida la capacitación sobre el uso del programa ELD adoptado y las evaluaciones. Este desarrollo profesional se brindará a maestros, asistentes de instrucción, tutores de maestros y especialistas en idiomas. (PDP) New Action
- <u>01.04</u>: Utilizar evaluaciones formativas y de referencia comunes en toda la LEA para analizar el progreso de los estudiantes con el fin de informar la instrucción, monitorear el progreso de los estudiantes e identificar a los estudiantes que necesitan evaluaciones o intervenciones adicionales. New Action
- <u>01.05</u>: Brindar a profesores nuevos y experimentados apoyo de capacitación de alto nivel semanalmente. New Action
- <u>01.06</u>: Proporcionar a todos los docentes y al personal de apoyo instructivo tiempo, protocolos y recursos para la articulación vertical relacionada con sus áreas de contenido y estándares, con mayor énfasis en las alineaciones de ELA con las habilidades de escritura. New Action
- <u>01.07</u>: La LEA proporcionará una variedad de programas de aprendizaje extendido, incluidos STEM, ARTS y educación al aire libre para brindar instrucción complementaria y apoyo a estudiantes y estudiantes con necesidades excepcionales. New Action
- <u>01.08</u>: Proporcionar tiempo durante la jornada escolar para que todos los docentes se reúnan 4 días a la semana durante al menos 40 minutos para que las PLC planifiquen objetivos de aprendizaje esenciales, datos de rendimiento de los estudiantes, observaciones de los docentes, mejores prácticas de instrucción



y el uso del tiempo de intervención. Al menos el 50 % de este tiempo se centrará en los estudiantes no duplicados. - New Action

- <u>01.09</u>: Colocar asistentes de enseñanza en todas las aulas de día completo de TK y jardín de infantes para apoyar las necesidades académicas y de conducta de los estudiantes. Se dará prioridad a los estudiantes EL, LI y FY. - New Action

#REF!		
02	Crear un clima seguro, acogedor e inclusivo para todos los estudiantes y sus familias, donde todos los estudiantes lograrán el bienestar personal a través de un entorno escolar de apoyo y participación que fomente al niño en su totalidad y cree salud, felicidad y colaboración entre puestra escuela y las familias.	Broad

1: Basics

2: State Standards

Measuring and Reporting Results

Analysis of metric 6.D: % de socios educativos que perciben la escuela como segura o muy segura (ponderados equitativamente entre personal certificado, personal clasificado, estudiantes y padres) went from N/D (22-23) to 85.5% (23-24). and shows that the overall trend was in a positive direction on the key indicators for this goal. Educational partner focus groups showed that having students be emotionally healthy (compassionate / empathetic) was a top priority for a majority of educational partner groups.

Year 1 Outcome

	Métricas / Indicadores	Base	Resultado del año 1	Resultado del año 2		
02.01	1.A: Mantener el % de maestros asignados apropiadamente y con credenciales completas en el área temática y para los alumnos que están enseñando a (BL Yr: 22-23)	82.6%	100%		100%	17.4%
02.02	2.A: Mantener el % de implementación de los estándares estatales de CA para todos los estudiantes encima (BL Yr: 23-24)	90.0%	81.7%		90%	-8.3%
02.03	2.B: Mantener el % La implementación de las ELD normas adoptadas por el SBE para todos los ELs encima (BL Yr: 23-24)	93.3%	95.0%		90%	1.7%

#		
π		

02.01	Chronic Absenteeism response	02.01: Analizar las causas y patrones del ausentismo crónico para establecer políticas y prácticas consistentes; investigar y diseñar estrategias para reducir la frecuencia; y comunicar a las familias la importancia de la asistencia a la escuela y su relación con el éxito de los estudiantes.	\$0.00	No
02.02	MTSS (Social Emotional)	02.02: Continuar modificando y ampliando el sistema de intervención escalonado del MTSS para todos los estudiantes que necesitan intervención socioemocional. Los apoyos socioemocionales incluirán Second Step y otras intervenciones apropiadas	\$340,200.00	No
02.03	Suspension analysis and intervention	02.03: Continuar modificando y ampliando el sistema de intervención por niveles del MTSS para todos los estudiantes que necesiten una intervención conductual. Esto incluirá intervenciones en clase de nivel 1, 2nd STEP y Soul Shoppe (manejo de la ira, acoso escolar y tolerancia racial). Los dependientes militares recién llegados recibirán atención adicional. El equipo de conducta del MTSS analizará los datos de suspensión y facilitará intervenciones de nivel 2 y nivel 3 para los estudiantes en riesgo con el fin de reducir las suspensiones.	\$10,000.00	No
02.04	PD Plan	<u>02.04</u> : Proporcionar desarrollo profesional a todos los maestros y al personal apropiado sobre algunos de los siguientes temas: aumentar el rigor académico para cumplir con los estándares de nivel de grado, evaluación de datos para brindar instrucción equitativa en toda la escuela, etc. (PDP)	\$0.00	No
02.05	PE Teacher for PLC Time	02.05: Proporcionar un profesor de educación física certificado (TK-6) y, además, un asistente de enseñanza de educación física clasificado (TK-2). Este programa de educación física tendrá un enfoque socioemocional para abordar las necesidades de los estudiantes en riesgo (estudiantes de inglés, estudiantes de acogida, personas sin hogar, de bajos ingresos). Este tiempo también se utilizará para proporcionar a los niveles de grado tiempo de PLC para analizar los datos de rendimiento de los estudiantes no duplicados.	\$130,000.00	No
02.06	School-wide Behavioral Guidelines	<u>02.06</u> : Restablecimiento de pautas de conducta para toda la escuela con énfasis en la prevención de la violencia física, el lenguaje racista y los códigos de vestimenta. (Administración, maestros, consejeros, psicólogos)	\$25,000.00	No
02.07	Staff Wellness Program	<u>02.07</u> : Diseñar y establecer un programa de bienestar para el personal con incentivos y recompensas. (Administración, docentes, consejero, psicólogo).	\$10,000.00	No

2024-25

This box contains actions that had substantive differences, along with explanations for these differences. It also contains actions that had specific successes and some that had specific challenge along with descriptions of these successes and challenges.

No actions in this goal had substantive differences between the planned action and the actual action.

The following are some of the actions with successes and challenges. First is a list of actions with successes and after that a list of actions with challenges. The action number is listed with the Action Title and the success or challenge is written in italics. Successes:

Challenges:

La siguiente acción tuvo diferencias significativas entre los gastos presupuestados y los gastos reales: Las razones de la diferencia en los gastos presupuestados y reales son:

- 02.04:

The following metrics have been selected to show how the district is progressing towards achievement of this goal.

Below is a list of actions that educational partners found were contributing to achieving the stated goal and improving the metrics listed above. The action is followed by a brief description of the action's effectiveness in italics.

There were no actions that the educational partner focus groups found to be sufficiently ineffective to be listed in this response.

Este meta se mantuvo sin cambios en el LCAP del próximo año. 2025-26.

No se agregaron métricas en este objetivo como nuevas o eliminadas en el LCAP del próximo año. Algunas métricas en este objetivo han tenido cambios menores en el idioma para proporcionar más claridad o coherencia en todo el plan. 2025-26.

Las siguientes son listas de acciones que fueron agregadas, eliminadas, modificadas, eliminadas y combinadas, o completadas en el LCAP del próximo año. Estos cambios fueron el resultado directo de reuniones con grupos de partes interesadas. Algunas acciones en este objetivo han tenido cambios menores en el idioma para proporcionar más claridad o coherencia en todo el plan. 2025-26.

- 02.01: Analizar las causas y patrones del ausentismo crónico para establecer políticas y prácticas consistentes; investigar y diseñar estrategias para reducir la



frecuencia; y comunicar a las familias la importancia de la asistencia a la escuela y su relación con el éxito de los estudiantes. - New Action

- <u>02.02</u>: Continuar modificando y ampliando el sistema de intervención escalonado del MTSS para todos los estudiantes que necesitan intervención socioemocional. Los apoyos socioemocionales incluirán Second Step y otras intervenciones apropiadas New Action
- 02.03: Continuar modificando y ampliando el sistema de intervención por niveles del MTSS para todos los estudiantes que necesiten una intervención conductual. Esto incluirá intervenciones en clase de nivel 1, 2nd STEP y Soul Shoppe (manejo de la ira, acoso escolar y tolerancia racial). Los dependientes militares recién llegados recibirán atención adicional. El equipo de conducta del MTSS analizará los datos de suspensión y facilitará intervenciones de nivel 2 y nivel 3 para los estudiantes en riesgo con el fin de reducir las suspensiones. New Action
- <u>02.04</u>: Proporcionar desarrollo profesional a todos los maestros y al personal apropiado sobre algunos de los siguientes temas: aumentar el rigor académico para cumplir con los estándares de nivel de grado, evaluación de datos para brindar instrucción equitativa en toda la escuela, etc. (PDP) New Action
- 02.05: Proporcionar un profesor de educación física certificado (TK-6) y, además, un asistente de enseñanza de educación física clasificado (TK-2). Este programa de educación física tendrá un enfoque socioemocional para abordar las necesidades de los estudiantes en riesgo (estudiantes de inglés, estudiantes de acogida, personas sin hogar, de bajos ingresos). Este tiempo también se utilizará para proporcionar a los niveles de grado tiempo de PLC para analizar los datos de rendimiento de los estudiantes no duplicados. New Action
- <u>02.06</u>: Restablecimiento de pautas de conducta para toda la escuela con énfasis en la prevención de la violencia física, el lenguaje racista y los códigos de vestimenta. (Administración, maestros, consejeros, psicólogos) New Action
- <u>02.07</u>: Diseñar y establecer un programa de bienestar para el personal con incentivos y recompensas. (Administración, docentes, consejero, psicólogo). New Action

#REF!		
03	Mantener altos niveles de compromiso de los padres, la familia y la comunidad con las escuelas satisfaciendo las necesidades de la comunidad a través de la expansión a los grados 7 y 8, así como también el Plan de Ampliación y Modernización de las Instalaciones y a través de otras vías	Broad

- 1: Basics
- 3: Parental Involvement
- 5: Pupil Engagement
- 6: School Climate

Measuring and Reporting Results

Analysis of metric 3.A.1: % en la encuesta de padres del distrito que está de acuerdo en que el distrito busca la opinión de los padres (artículo 24) went from () to 89.0% (23-24). and metric 6.E: % de socios educativos que informan una alta conexión con la escuela (ponderados equitativamente por personal certificado, personal clasificado, estudiantes y padres) went from (22-23) to 74.5% (23-24).

Year 1 Outcome

	Métricas / Indicadores	Base	Resultado del año 1	Resultado del año 2		
03.01	1.C: Mantener el % en la calificación general de la herramienta de inspección de instalaciones encima (BL Yr: 23-24)	90.0%	93.0%		90%	3%
03.02	3.A.1: Aumentar el % en la encuesta de padres del distrito que está de acuerdo en que el distrito busca la opinión de los padres (artículo 24) a (BL Yr: 23-24)	89.0%	89.7%		90.0%	.7%
03.03	3.A.2: Mantener el % de hogares que respondieron a la Encuesta de padres del distrito encima (BL Yr: 23-24)	67%	90.4%		60%	23.4%
03.04	3.B: Aumentar el de casos en que un padre de cada estudiante no duplicado participa en un programa o servicio escolar para UDS (por promedio de UDS) a (BL Yr: 23-24)	1.0	1.0		2.0	0
03.05	3.C: Mantener el # de casos en que un padre de cada estudiante con	2.1	1.7		2.0	4

	necesidades excepcionales participa en un programa o servicio escolar para ENS (por promedio de ENS) encima (BL Yr: 23-24)				
03.06	5.A: Aumentar el La tasa de asistencia escolar a (BL Yr: 23-24)	97.9%	96.3%	98%	-1.6%
03.07	5.B: Disminuir el % sobre la tasa de ausentismo crónico (Tablero de CA, Estado) a (BL Yr: 22-23)	13.8%	9.3%	10	-4.5%
03.08	5.C: Mantener el % sobre la tasa de abandono de la escuela secundaria a (BL Yr: 22-23)	N/D	N/D	0%	0
03.09	6.A: Mantener el % sobre la tasa de suspensión (panel de CA, estado) abajo (BL Yr: 22-23)	0.8%	1.9%	1.0%	1.1%
03.10	6.B: Mantener el % sobre tasa de expulsión a (BL Yr: 22-23)	0%	0%	0%	0%
03.11	6.C.1: Mantener el # en el índice general de la Encuesta de Clima Escolar del Distrito Calificación del Clima Escolar encima	83.4	N/A	80	-83.4
03.12	6.C.2: Mantener el % de socios educativos que perciben la escuela como segura o muy segura (ponderados equitativamente entre personal certificado, personal clasificado, estudiantes y padres) encima	87.8%	86.9%	90%	9%
03.13	6.C.3: Aumentar el % de socios educativos que informan una alta conexión con la escuela (ponderados equitativamente por personal certificado, personal clasificado, estudiantes y padres) a	74.5%	69.2%	80%	-5.3%

#				
03.01	Parent Home/School Communication	03.01: Proporcione boletines regulares y apoye el uso de un sitio web efectivo y redes sociales para mejorar la comunicación con los estudiantes, maestros, padres, el personal y la comunidad. Comuníquese con los padres regularmente usando mensajes de Parent Square y correos electrónicos grupales además de las actualizaciones trimestrales de Zoom para padres.	\$2,700.00	No
03.02	Office Staff Training	03.02: Proporcionar a todo el personal clasificado capacitación de alta calidad en algunos de los siguientes: mantener altos niveles de participación comunitaria, comunicarse con los padres, completar tareas laborales con mayor eficiencia y confianza, MS Office, sistema de información estudiantil, etc. (PDP)	\$20,000.00	No



03.03	Parent outreach for EL, low income, military dependents	03.03: Celebrar una conferencia trimestral entre padres y maestros para todos los padres de estudiantes que están aprendiendo inglés, o tienen bajos ingresos, y que son dependientes de militares. Todas las familias mencionadas anteriormente serán 1. invitadas a asistir, 2. serán invitadas a participar en una encuesta integral anual, y 3. serán informadas sobre el programa MTSS con información sobre cómo su hijo podría calificar para recibir apoyo a través de este programa. Se proporcionarán servicios de traducción según sea necesario.	\$8,708.00	Yes
03.04	Expansion to a Grade 7 and 8 instructional model	O3.04: Desarrollar la expansión a los grados 7 y 8 asegurándose de que el nuevo modelo escolar: 1. no afecte las necesidades y demandas existentes de Manzanita, 2. cumpla con todos los requisitos estatales y federales para la escuela secundaria, 3. cree un programa riguroso y altamente atractivo con el que los estudiantes, las familias y el personal estén entusiasmados de participar, y 4. funcione con pautas de seguridad mejoradas para todos los grados	\$0.00	No
03.05	Purple Star Application	03.05: El superintendente, el director y el enlace de VSFB investigarán y completarán con éxito el proceso de solicitud de Purple Star para convertirse en una organización escolar que apoye mejor a las familias militares de manera estratégica.	\$2,000.00	No

2024-25

This box contains actions that had substantive differences, along with explanations for these differences. It also contains actions that had specific successes and some that had specific challenge along with descriptions of these successes and challenges.

No actions in this goal had substantive differences between the planned action and the actual action.

The following are some of the actions with successes and challenges. First is a list of actions with successes and after that a list of actions with challenges. The action number is listed with the Action Title and the success or challenge is written in italics.

<u>Successes:</u>

Challenges:

Ninguna acción en este objetivo tuvo diferencias significativas entre los gastos presupuestados y los gastos reales:

The following metrics have been selected to show how the district is progressing towards achievement of this goal.

Below is a list of actions that educational partners found were contributing to achieving the stated goal and improving the metrics listed above. The action is followed by a brief description of the action's effectiveness in italics.

There were no actions that the educational partner focus groups found to be sufficiently ineffective to be listed in this response.

Este meta se mantuvo sin cambios en el LCAP del próximo año. 2025-26.

No se agregaron métricas en este objetivo como nuevas o eliminadas en el LCAP del próximo año. Algunas métricas en este objetivo han tenido cambios menores en el idioma para proporcionar más claridad o coherencia en todo el plan. 2025-26.

Las siguientes son listas de acciones que fueron agregadas, eliminadas, modificadas, eliminadas y combinadas, o completadas en el LCAP del próximo año. Estos cambios fueron el resultado directo de reuniones con grupos de partes interesadas. Algunas acciones en este objetivo han tenido cambios menores en el idioma para proporcionar más claridad o coherencia en todo el plan. 2025-26.

- <u>03.01</u>: Proporcione boletines regulares y apoye el uso de un sitio web efectivo y redes sociales para mejorar la comunicación con los estudiantes, maestros, padres, el personal y la comunidad. Comuníquese con los padres regularmente usando mensajes de Parent Square y correos electrónicos grupales además de las actualizaciones trimestrales de Zoom para padres. New Action
- <u>03.02</u>: Proporcionar a todo el personal clasificado capacitación de alta calidad en algunos de los siguientes: mantener altos niveles de participación comunitaria, comunicarse con los padres, completar tareas laborales con mayor eficiencia y confianza, MS Office, sistema de información estudiantil, etc. (PDP) New Action
- <u>03.03</u>: Celebrar una conferencia trimestral entre padres y maestros para todos los padres de estudiantes que están aprendiendo inglés, o tienen bajos ingresos, y que son dependientes de militares. Todas las familias mencionadas anteriormente serán 1. invitadas a asistir, 2. serán invitadas a participar en una encuesta integral anual, y 3. serán informadas sobre el programa MTSS con información sobre cómo su hijo podría calificar para recibir apoyo a través de este programa. Se proporcionarán servicios de traducción según sea necesario. New Action
- <u>03.04</u>: Desarrollar la expansión a los grados 7 y 8 asegurándose de que el nuevo modelo escolar: 1. no afecte las necesidades y demandas existentes de Manzanita, 2. cumpla con todos los requisitos estatales y federales para la escuela secundaria, 3. cree un programa riguroso y altamente atractivo con el que los estudiantes, las familias y el personal estén entusiasmados de participar, y 4. funcione con pautas de seguridad mejoradas para todos los grados New Action
- <u>03.05</u>: El superintendente, el director y el enlace de VSFB investigarán y completarán con éxito el proceso de solicitud de Purple Star para convertirse en una organización escolar que apoye mejor a las familias militares de manera estratégica. New Action



2025-26

\$478,761.00	\$0.00	

9.87%	0.00%	\$0	9.87%

01.08	This additional planning time will be principally directed towards improving the academic and behavioral outcomes of the LEA's unduplicated student population. The PLC time will focus on analyzing unduplicated student population data and planning classroom interventions to support this student population. Because this is a near daily activity, some of the time will be used to discuss school-wide data and trends, but the overwhelming majority of time will be focused on the needs of unduplicated students.	

01.02	The LEA will provide 1.74 FTE assigned to the assist primarily unduplicated students on interventions in ELA and Math. This is an increased service because this instruction does not replace, but supplements the core instruction of these students. The teachers also serve as an additional non academic support for these students.	
01.03	This additional PD for ELD will provide improved instruction for ELs.	
01.09	The increased one on one support that these positions provide will be directed toward unduplicated students in assisting them in closing any achievement gap they may have. MPC expects this action to increase the Math CAASPP performance of unduplicated students.	
03.03	Many parents of unduplicated students, particularly EL students, need additional assistance in learning how to best support their students academic progress and they need regular conversations with teachers to maintain high awareness of their students needs. These conferences will increase the time and attention that these parent get from the school.	

N/A

N/A

N/A	N/A
N/A	N/A

LCAP Year 25-26	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)		3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	• , ,	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	\$5,330,075.00	\$478,761.00	9.87%	0.00%	?

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$1,455,985.00	\$11,880.00	\$0.00	\$283,500.00	\$1,751,365.00	\$1,261,829.00	\$489,536.00

Goal	Action	Action Title	Students Group (s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services	Scope	Unduplica ted Students Group (s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel		LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned % of Improved Services
01	01	Student Achievement Data Monitoring	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%
01	02	ELD Instruction Time	English Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplica ted Student Group(s)		All Schools	ongoi ng	\$206,712	\$0	\$206,712.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$206,712.00	0.0%
01	03	ELD Professional Development	English Learners		Limited to Unduplica ted Student Group(s)	_	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$19,008	\$0	\$19,008.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$19,008.00	0.0%

Goal	Action	Action Title	Students Group (s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services	Scope	Unduplica ted Students Group (s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- Personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned % of Improved Services
01	04	Common Benchmark Assessments	Students with Disabilities	No	LEA-wide	Students with Disabilitie s	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$30,000.00	0.0%
01	05	Instructional and TIPS coaching	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$125,280	\$0	\$64,800.00	\$11,880.00	\$0.00	\$48,600.00	\$125,280.00	0.0%
01	06	Vertical Articulation	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$135,788	\$0	\$135,788.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$135,788.00	0.0%
01	07	Essential Enrichment Learning Programming	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$403,336	\$233,236.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$170,100.00	\$403,336.00	0.0%
01	08	PLC Time	All	Yes	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$185,433	\$0	\$185,433.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$185,433.00	0.0%

Goal	Action	Action Title	Students Group (s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services	Scope	Unduplica ted Students Group (s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- Personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned % of Improved Services
01	09	TK-K Instructional Assistants	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Limited to Unduplica ted Student Group(s)		All Schools	ongoi ng	\$97,200	\$0	\$97,200.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$97,200.00	0.0%
02	01	Chronic Absenteeism response	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%
02	02	MTSS (Social Emotional)	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$340,200	\$0	\$275,400.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$64,800.00	\$340,200.00	0.0%
02	03	MTSS (Behavioral)	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00	0.0%
02	04	PD Plan	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%

Goal	Action	Action Title	Students Group (s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services	Scope	Unduplica ted Students Group (s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- Personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned % of Improved Services
02	05	PE Teacher for PLC Time	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$130,000	\$0	\$130,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$130,000.00	0.0%
02	06	School-wide Behavioral Guidelines	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$25,000.00	0.0%
02	07	Staff Wellness Program	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$10,000.00	0.0%
03	01	Parent Home/School Communication	All	No	LEA-wide		All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$2,700	\$2,700.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,700.00	0.0%
03	02	Office Staff Training	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$13,500	\$6,500	\$20,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$20,000.00	0.0%

Goal	Action	Action Title	Students Group (s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services	Scope	Unduplica ted Students Group (s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non- Personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned % of Improved Services
03	03	Outreach to EL, LI, and FY military families	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	Yes	Limited to Unduplica ted Student Group(s)		All Schools	ongoi ng	\$8,708	\$0	\$8,708.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$8,708.00	0.0%
03	04	Expansion to a Grade 7 and 8 instructional model	All	No	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.0%
03	05	Purple Star Application	All	No	LEA-wide		All Schools	ongoi ng	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,000.00	0.0%

2025-26 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover - Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)		5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
	\$478,761	?	0.00%	?	\$517,061	0.00%	9.70%	Total:	\$517,061

LEA-wide Total: \$185,433

Limited Total: \$331,628

Schoolwide Total: \$0

Goal	Action	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services	Scope	Unduplicated Students Group (s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned % of Improved Services
01	02	ELD Instruction Time	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	\$206,712.00	0.0%
01	03	ELD Professional Development	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners	All Schools	\$19,008.00	0.0%
01	08	PLC Time	Yes	LEA-wide	All	All Schools	\$185,433.00	0.0%
01	09	TK-K Instructional Assistants	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$97,200.00	0.0%
03	03	Outreach to EL, LI, and FY military families	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Foster Youth Low Income	All Schools	\$8,708.00	0.0%

2024-25 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Actual Expenditures (Total Funds)
Totals:	\$1,678,451.00	\$1,543,794.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
01	01	Student Achievement Data Monitoring	No	\$0.00	\$37,500.00
01	02	ELD Instruction Time	Yes	\$191,400.00	
01	03	ELD Professional Development	Yes	\$17,600.00	
01	04	Common Benchmark Assessments	No	\$30,000.00	\$29,100.00
01	05	Instructional and TIPS coaching	No	\$156,000.00	\$156,850.00
01	06	Vertical Articulation	No	\$125,730.00	\$128,710.00
01	07	Essential Enrichment Learning Programming	No	\$373,460.00	\$381,320.00
01	08	PLC Time	Yes	\$171,697.00	\$167,000.00

2024-25 Annual Update Table

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
01	09	TK-K Instructional Assistants	Yes	\$90,000.00	\$86,100.00
02	01	Chronic Absenteeism response	No	\$0.00	\$0.00
02	02	MTSS (Social Emotional)	No	\$315,000.00	\$332,500.00
02	03	MTSS (Behavioral)	No	\$10,000.00	\$10,400.00
02	04	PD Plan	No	\$0.00	\$20,000.00
02	05	PE Teacher for PLC Time	No	\$130,000.00	\$127,050.00
02	06	School-wide Behavioral Guidelines	No	\$25,000.00	\$24,500.00
02	07	Staff Wellness Program	No	\$10,000.00	\$10,600.00
03	01	Parent Home/School Communication	No	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
03	02	Office Staff Training	No	\$20,000.00	\$19,600.00

2024-25 Annual Update Table

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
03	03	Outreach to EL, LI, and FY military families	Yes	\$8,064.00	\$8,064.00
03	04	Expansion to a Grade 7 and 8 instructional model	No	\$0.00	\$0.00
03	05	Purple Star Application	No	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00

2024-25 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 4 from 7)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	
	\$478,761	\$261,164	\$217,597	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Goal	Action	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Action (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input %)
01	02	ELD Instruction Time	Yes	\$191,400	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
01	03	ELD Professional Development	Yes	\$17,600	\$0	0.0%	0.0%
01	08	PLC Time	Yes	\$171,697	\$167,000	0.0%	0.0%
01	09	TK-K Instructional Assistants	Yes	\$90,000	\$86,100	0.0%	0.0%
03	03	Outreach to EL, LI, and FY military families	Yes	\$8,064	\$8,064	0.0%	0.0%

2024-25 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	LCFF Carryover - Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9 plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover - Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply 9)	13. LCFF Carryover Percentage (12 divided by 9)
\$999		0.00%	0.00%	\$261,164	0.00%	26,142.54%	\$0	0.00%

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at LCFF@cde.ca.gov.

Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- Comprehensive Strategic Planning: The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners: The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions
 made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights
 about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify
 potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- Accountability and Compliance: The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template
 sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most
 notably:
 - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).

- Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
 - NOTE: As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.
- o Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (EC Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (EC sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

Plan Summary

Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

Requirements and Instructions

General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK-12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;

- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
 and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023
 Dashboard.

Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as "Not Applicable."

Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

 Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

 Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

Engaging Educational Partners

Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* sections <u>52060(g)</u> (California Legislative Information) and <u>52066(g)</u> (California Legislative Information) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators.
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

Charter schools: *EC* Section <u>47606.5(d)</u> (California Legislative Information) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- · Teachers,
- · Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- · Parents, and

Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the CDE's LCAP webpage.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see <u>Education Code Section 52062 (California Legislative Information)</u>;
 - Note: Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of EC Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see Education Code Section 52068 (California Legislative Information); and
- For charter schools, see <u>Education Code Section 47606.5</u> (California Legislative Information).
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

Instructions

Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other
 engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to
 engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools
 generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each
 applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
 - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
 - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
 - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
 - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
 - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
 - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
 - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
 - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
 - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
 - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
 - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process

Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

Goals and Actions

Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
 - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs
 Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The <u>LCFF State Priorities Summary</u> provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing
 at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing,
 subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
 - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the
 performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
 - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
 - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise
 receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to
 implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

Note: *EC* Section <u>42238.024(b)(1)</u> (California Legislative Information) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

Broad Goal

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a
 focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal

Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has
 determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the
 LCAP.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.
- Required metrics for LEA-wide actions: For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:

- The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
- The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric

Enter the metric number.

Metric

• Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.

Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
 - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the threeyear plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
 - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
 - o Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
 - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
 - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
 - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.

 Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year
 2, as applicable.

Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
 - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "Measuring and Reporting Results" part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2024–25 or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27. Leave blank until then.

Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

Note: When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
 - o Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
 - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages
of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or
percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. "Effectiveness" means
 the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not
 produce any significant or targeted result.
 - o In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
 - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
 - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a threeyear period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
 - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven
 effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action
 and must include a description of the following:
 - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
 - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action

• Enter the action number.

Title

• Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
 - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
 - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
 - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

Total Funds

 Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or an "N" for No.
 - Note: for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
 - Language acquisition programs, as defined in EC Section 306, provided to students, and
 - o Professional development for teachers.

- If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to EC sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific
 actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of
 this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.
- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
 - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
 - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the

identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for any action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Requirements and Instructions

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

 Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

• Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

• Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

• Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

• Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required
Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be
increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section
15496(a)(7).

Required Descriptions:

LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the
 contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the
 amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.
- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that
 is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration
 of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - o The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
 - o The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
 - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word "input" has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- LCAP Year: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- 1. Projected LCFF Base Grant: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- 2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- 3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5

CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.

- LCFF Carryover Percentage: Specify the LCFF Carryover Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover Percentage. This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- Goal #: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- Action #: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- Action Title: Provide a title of the action.
- Student Group(s): Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?: Type "Yes" if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
 - Scope: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
 - Unduplicated Student Group(s): Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups.
 Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
 - Location: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.

- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- Total Personnel: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds**: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
 - Note: For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- Other State Funds: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
 - Note: Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- Local Funds: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- Federal Funds: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds**: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services**: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
 - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

• Estimated Actual Expenditures: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
 - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and

determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

LCFF Carryover Table

- 9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See EC sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year: This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

Contributing Actions Table

- 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
 - o This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services
 - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)
 - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display "Not Required."

• 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants

 This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)

• This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).

• 7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions

- This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)
 - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
 - o This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- 8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)
 - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
 - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

LCFF Carryover Table

• 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)

 This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.

• 11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)

This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

• 12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)

 If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

• 13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)

This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education November 2023

LCAP, Metrics

		<u>1</u>	2	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>/</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	
Proporcionar instrucción en el aula de alta calidad alineada con los estándares	Actions	X			\boxtimes							
estatales básicos comunes, con intervención académica para eliminar las	Metrics	×			×			×	\boxtimes			
Crear un clima seguro, acogedor e inclusivo para todos los estudiantes y sus	Actions					\boxtimes	X	\boxtimes				
familias, donde todos los estudiantes lograrán el bienestar personal a través de	Metrics	X	×									
Mantener altos niveles de compromiso de los padres, la familia y la comunidad	Actions			\boxtimes								
con las escuelas satisfaciendo las necesidades de la comunidad a través de la	Metrics	X		X		X	X					
		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	
	Actions											
	Metrics											



Acronym Page



LCAP Explanatory Page



Expenditures by Resource Code

	2024-2025		2025-2026			2026-2027			
	<u>Allocation</u>	In LCAP	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Allocation</u>	In LCAP	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Allocation</u>	In LCAP	<u>Difference</u>
LCFF	\$4,851,314	\$926,190	\$3,925,124	\$4,851,314	\$977,224	\$3,874,090	\$4,851,314	\$1,066,144	\$3,785,170
LCFF, S&C	\$478,761	\$478,761		\$478,761	\$478,761		\$478,761	\$478,761	
Title I	\$647,190	\$262,500	\$384,690	\$647,190	\$283,500	\$363,690	\$647,190	\$306,180	\$341,010
Title II									
Title III									
ESSA									
SpEd (Fd)	\$119,471		\$119,471	\$119,471		\$119,471	\$119,471		\$119,471
Nutrition (Fed)	\$100,013		\$100,013	\$100,013		\$100,013	\$100,013		\$100,013
Other Federal									
SpEd (CA)	\$400,736		\$400,736	\$400,736		\$400,736	\$400,736		\$400,736
Nutrition (CA)									
Lottery									
Other State	\$633,901	\$11,000	\$622,901	\$633,901	\$11,880	\$622,021	\$633,901	\$12,829	\$621,072

l	\$7,231,386	\$1,678,451	\$7,231,386	\$1,751,365	\$7,231,386	\$1,863,914	
l	\$7,231,386	\$1,678,451	\$7,231,386	\$1,751,365	\$7,231,386	\$1,863,914	
•		\$1,678,451	ı	\$1,751,365	l	\$1,863,914	

Expenditures by Object Code

		2024-2025			2025-2026			2026-2027	
	SACS 01	In LCAP	<u>Difference</u>	SACS 01	In LCAP	<u>Difference</u>	SACS 01	In LCAP	<u>Difference</u>
1000	\$3,162,677	\$740,686	\$2,421,991	\$3,162,677	\$799,940	\$2,362,737	\$3,162,677	\$854,536	\$2,308,141
2000	\$563,364	\$242,147	\$321,217	\$563,364	\$253,919	\$309,445	\$563,364	\$266,632	\$296,732
3000	\$1,207,408	\$196,158	\$1,011,250	\$1,207,408	\$207,970	\$999,438	\$1,207,408	\$220,727	\$986,681
4000	\$278,757	\$12,000	\$266,757	\$278,757	\$12,000	\$266,757	\$278,757	\$12,000	\$266,757
5000	\$1,965,444	\$487,460	\$1,477,984	\$1,965,444	\$477,536	\$1,487,908	\$1,965,444	\$510,019	\$1,455,425
6000									
7000	\$5,500		\$5,500	\$5,500		\$5,500	\$5,500		\$5,500